

KOLAR DISTRICT.

Subjects Nos. 221 to 223 were introduced by Mr. Tirunarayansawmy Mudaliar, Bowringpet Taluk.

Nos. 224 to 228 by Messrs. Chennappa and Munisawmy Gowda, Chintamani Taluk.

No. 229 by Mr. Lakshman Rao, Sidlaghatta Taluk.

Nos. 230 & 231 by Messrs. Bhairegowda and Sheshiengar, Kolar Taluk.

Nos. 232 to 234 by Mr. Guru Rao, Kolar Municipality.

Nos. 235 to 238 by Mr. Santepalli Rama Gowda, Local Fund Board.

No. 239 by Messrs. Poona Subbanna and Sheshiengar.

No. 240 by Mr. Sahukar Sheshiengar, Kolar Taluk.

Nos. 241 & 242 by Mr. Bhima Rao, Mulbagal Taluk.

Nos. 243 to 245 by Mr. Patel Pacha Meah, Mulbagal Municipality.

Nos. 246 to 253 by Mr. Venketnarsappa, Bagepalli Taluk.

No. 254 by Mr. Sheshanna, Goribidnur Taluk.

Nos. 255 to 262 by Mr. Rangaswamiengar, Chikballapur Taluk.

Nos. 263 to 267 by Mr. Rajagopalachar, Chikballapur Municipality.

No. 268 by Mr. Shanbhog Sadasivappa, Srinivaspur Sub-Taluk.

Subject No. 221.

Uso of 'Ibbalige' measures.

Summary of discussion.—"Ibbalige" measures bearing Government stamp should be used in lieu of those now in use by the merchants in Bowringpet and other places.

Reply of Government.—The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to enquire into the matter and do the needful.

Subject No. 222.

Service Inams assessed during Potgi.

Summary of discussion.—Service Inam lands in the possession of the hissedars to the Shanbhogi hak, which were assessed during the Potgi Settlement, should be treated as Inams and enfranchised on half quit-rent.

Reply of Government.—No such concession is required in favor of men not doing the service for which the Inams were originally granted.

Subject No. 223.

Narsapur chattram.

Summary of discussion.—Feeding should be revived in the Government chattram at Narsapur in the Malur Taluk.

Reply of Government.—The Muzrai Superintendent for disposal.

Subject No. 224.

Assessment on land granted between Yugadi and June.

Summary of discussion.—Grants of land made between Yugadi and June should not be regarded as pertaining to the year ending with June and assessment demanded in respect of them.

Reply of Government.—A general rule like this is inexpedient.

Subject No. 225.

Breached anikats in Chintamani.

Summary of discussion.—Several breached anikats in the Chintamani Taluk should be repaired at an early date.

Reply of Government.—The Deputy Commissioner will enquire and take needful action.

Subject No. 226.

Formation of Taluk at Srinivaspur.

Summary of discussion.—Srinivaspur should be reconstituted a Taluk.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.